Welcome

Thank you for considering this review request from the ReStore team. We have invited you to review **web resource**. We would need to receive this review by **22/01/1970**. Below you can see the review form, [more help](#).

***ACCEPT*** I accept this review invitation. The form will be activated and made available for submission when the review is complete.

***DECLINE*** I decline this review invitation

Your formal consent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decline</th>
<th>Accept</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

You are required to click on the “Accept” button before saving and/or submitting any details.

Yourself & your web browser

Sometimes websites behave differently according to the web browser being used. Please tell us the exact browser and version that you have used in preparing this review (e.g. Internet Explorer (IE) 6.0 or IE 7.0, Mozilla Firefox etc). This can be found in most browsers by clicking on the "Help" tab and selecting the "About" option. In the case of Firefox, Flocks, Opera, simply put "Firefox", "Flocks", "Opera" as appropriate.

Name of reviewer: 

Resource being reviewed: 

Please Identify your browser software & version: 

Have you ever used this online resource before as part of your academic work? Yes | No |

Standardization of Layout

Is the layout/navigation consistent throughout the site? Yes | No |

Broken Links

We will run automated link-checking software on this site to identify any broken links. We do not therefore need you to duplicate this task. However, if you come across an important broken link (for example a link to a major website external to the resource under review) and from your expert knowledge of the subject area, you are able to tell us the correct link or an appropriate alternative, it would be helpful if you would tell us. You do not need to record here any broken links within the current website as we will handle these automatically.

Are there any important broken links need mentioning? Yes | No |

Reference to External Sites

Are the external sites and software tools etc. correctly and appropriately described and used? Yes | No |

Site Content & Management

Does the starting page adequately describe the purpose, scope and beneficiaries of the resource? Yes | No |

Is it possible to access materials of interest without too many clicks and links? Yes | No |

Are the contents relevant and sufficient to meet the stated aims of the site? Yes | No |

Is the academic content of the site consistently of high quality? Yes | No |

Are there areas in which the site content has become out of date? You do not need to tell us about broken links in this question Yes | No |

Is the writing (grammar, typography etc.) on the site itself consistently of high quality? Yes | No |

Does the site make appropriate reference to academic literature and external resources? Yes | No |

Is there superfluous material that could be removed without detriment? Yes | No |

Are graphics, video, sound, presentations etc. necessary, appropriate and of sufficient quality? Yes | No |

Brief Summary of Site

Now that you have explored this site we would like you to consider how you would summarise its contents and purpose and to think whom it might benefit.
Could you please briefly describe in your own words the purpose and contents of the site as you would describe it to a colleague?

Please tell us for which categories of researcher user this site would be useful.

Specific Recommendations about this resource

What would be your specific recommendation about this online resource? (please choose one option from the following)?

Accept it as it is

Comments to ReStore Team

Do you have any further specific comments for the ReStore team or editorial group? Yes | No

Comments to ReStore Author

Do you have any specific comments or suggestions about this resource for the original author? Yes | No

Submit