What are... Discrete Choice Experiments?

Matthew Quaife

Faculty of Public Health and Policy London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

> 5/7/2016 – Bath NCRM Research Methods Festival

Improving health worldwide

www.lshtm.ac.uk







ECONOMICS AND CHOICE

5

Economics and choice

Resources are scarce and choices must be made



These choices give us information about individual preferences



WATCHING YC

<u>**Revealed</u>** Preferences: "What did you do?"</u>

<u>Stated</u> Preferences: "What would you do?"

WATCHING `

<u>**Revealed</u>** Preferences: "What did you do?"</u>

Pros:

Face validity – people actually make the choice Simple to analyse

Cons:

TEOMETRY FLAGE

WATC

Choices must exist in reality (new products?) Hard to get data on alternatives not chosen

<u>**Revealed</u>** Preferences: "What did you do?"</u>

<u>Stated</u> Preferences: "What would you do?"

WATCHING `

Pros:

WATCHING

Flexible – can focus on important tradeoffs Not limited to existing alternatives

Cons: Lower external validity – Hypothetical bias?

<u>Stated</u> Preferences: "What would you do?"

Lancaster's theory of demand

"The whole is greater than the sum of its parts"



Aristotle, ~300 BC







Discrete Choice Experiments



Choice		Vote Leave	
Economic impact	GDP increases by 1% per year	GDP increases by 0.5% per year	

Choice		Vote Leave
Economic impact	GDP increases by 1% per year	GDP increases by 0.5% per year
Net Migration	200,000 per year	150,000 per year

Choice		Vote Leave
Economic impact	GDP increases by 1% per year	GDP increases by 0.5% per year
Net Migration	200,000 per year	150,000 per year
Prime Minister		

Choice		Vote Leave
Economic impact	GDP increases by 1% per year	GDP increases by 1% per year
Net Migration	300,000 per year	150,000 per year
Prime Minister		

Discrete Choice Experiments: New HIV Prevention Products

Here are the products and this is what they do. Please select the product you would most prefer.









Discrete Choice Experiments: Essay Cheating

	Buy Essay 1	Buy Essay 2	Buy Essay 3	Buy None of Them
Price of Essay	£100	£75	£100	
Risk of Being Caught	1 in 100	1 in 100	1 in 1000	
Penalty if Caught	Repeat the Year	0% for the Unit	Repeat the Year	
Quality of the Essay	1 st Class	2(i)	3rd Class	
What would you do? Tick one option ($$)				

- Attribute preferences
 - "What is the most important attribute of the Brexit choice?"

- Attribute preferences
 - "What is the most important attribute of the Brexit
- The 5 Most Important Things You Need To Know

About The Brexit

Maggie McGrath, 6



EU REFERENDUM

The UK's EU referendum: All you need to know

By Brian Wheeler & Alex Hunt **BBC News**

- Attribute preferences
 - "What is the most important attribute of the Brexit choice?"
- Demand forecasts (market share)
 - "How many people will vote to leave?"

Demand forecasts (market share)



Eront PEE

- Attribute preferences
 - "What is the most important attribute of the Brexit choice?"
- Demand forecasts
 - "How many people will vote to leave?"
- Willingness to pay
 - "How much would GDP need to increase by for you to accept a migration increase of 100,000?"

Summary: Advantages of DCEs

- Provide policy relevant information
 - What is important to people?
 - How might people trade-off between attributes?
 - Simulation of possible scenarios

Summary: Advantages of DCEs

- Provide policy relevant information
 - What is important to people?
 - How might people trade-off between attributes?
 - Simulation of possible scenarios
- Basic results easy to interpret
 - Well received by policy makers
- Easy for participants to understand
 - Mimic real choice behaviour

Summary: Disadvantages of DCEs

- Hypothetical choices
 - Limited external validity?
- Simplified (simplistic?) approach to choices

Summary: Disadvantages of DCEs

- Hypothetical choices
 - Limited external validity?
- Simplified (simplistic?) approach to choices
- Complex to design and analyse
- Cognitively demanding surveys
 - Heuristics, fatigue, etc.



@matthew_quaife matthew.quaife@lshtm.ac.uk







References

Dan Rigby, Michael Burton, Kelvin Balcombe, Ian Bateman, Abay Mulatu, Contract cheating & the market in essays, Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, Volume 111, March 2015, Pages 23-37, ISSN 0167-2681, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2014.12.019.

Quaife M, Eakle R, Cabrera M, Vickerman P, Tsepe M, Cianci F, Delany-Moretlwe S, Terris-Prestholt F, Preferences for ARV-based HIV prevention methods among men and women, adolescent girls and female sex workers in Gauteng Province, South Africa: a protocol for a discrete choice experiment. BMJ Open. 2016 Jun 27;6(6):e010682. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010682.

Image Credits:

- Uncle Sam: <u>http://allthingsd.com/files/2013/06/uncle-sam-watching-you-feature.png</u>
- Choices: http://eastpointfellowship.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/07/Good-Choice-Bad-Choice.jpg
- Lancaster: http://www.newschool.edu/nssr/het/profiles/image/lancast2.jpg
- Aristotle: <u>http://www.thefamouspeople.com/profiles/images/aristotle-17.jpg</u>
- David Cameron: https://labourlist.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/David-Cameron-at-the-EU-s-007-e1402051474656.jpg
- Theresa May: http://cdn.quotationof.com/images/theresa-may-3.jpg
- Michael Gove: https://i.guim.co.uk/img/static/sys-images/Guardian/Pix/pictures/2014/7/15/1405423652338/Michael-Gove-011.jpg?w=620&q=55&auto=format&usm=12&fit=max&s=2bf0f97f3114cf706aa46721755da88d

Methods for Eliciting Preferences

QUANTITATIVE TECHNIQUES				
Ranking Techniques	Rating Techniques	Choice-Based Techniques		
 Simple ranking Qualitative discriminant process Conjoint analysis 	 Likert scale Visual analogue scale Guttmann scales Conjoint analysis Semantic differential technique Satisfaction surveys SERVQUAL 	 Simple choice exercises Discrete Choice Experiments Analytic hierarchy process Standard gamble Time trade-off Willingness to pay Allocation of points 		

QUALITATIVE TECHNIQUES			
Individual Approaches	Group Approaches		
 One-to-one interviews Dyadic interview Case study analysis Delphi technique Complaints procedures 	 Focus groups Concept mapping Citizen juries Consensus panels Public meetings Nominal group techniques 		

Ranking

RANK the Importance of the following FIVE factors in your choice of a laptop from 1 (Most Important) to 4 (Least Important):

	Rank
1. Power	
2. Weight	
3. Battery life	
4. Price	



Limitations of ranking

No relative strength of preferences
Unclear implementation of decision rule from results

Information obtained in a DCE $V = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + ... + \beta_k X_k$

Where

 α : alternative-specific constant X_j: attributes β_j : parameters (relative importance of attributes)

Discrete Choice Model Estimation

Individuals maximise U_{ij} which is composed of and explainable systematic component V_{ij} and a random component ε_{ij} :

$$U_{ij} = V_{ij} + \varepsilon_i j$$

Where:

$$V_{ij} = \beta_1 X_{1j} + \beta_2 X_{2j} + \beta_3 Z_{3j} + \dots + \beta_k X_{kj} + \beta_k Z_{kj}$$

Where each β term represents the weight that individual *n* places on the corresponding design attributes X_{kj} . Z_{kj} represents individual socio-demographic characteristics.

According to random utility theory (RUT) we assume that individuals choose alternative such that they maximise utility.

Estimation – Multinomial logit

 $P_{ij} = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{X}'_{j}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\sum_{q=1}^{J} \exp(\mathbf{X}'_{q}\boldsymbol{\beta})}$

- Assumptions:
 - All errors independent and follow type-1 extreme distribution
 - Error terms and choice probabilities subject to iia restriction
 - Does not account for heterogeneity in preferences across individuals
- Computationally quick and easy to run, good to scope out model structure
- MNL (or nested logit) unlikely to be acceptable final model

Estimation – Random parameter logit (mixed logit)

The mixed logit model explicitly accounts for respondent heterogeneity in value judgements, allowing parameters to vary by respondent, i, such that:

$$\beta_{ki} = \bar{\beta}_k + \eta_k$$

 $= \int \left(\frac{\exp(\mathbf{X}'_{j}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{U}'_{j}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i})}{\sum_{q=1}^{J} \exp(\mathbf{X}'_{q}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{U}'_{q}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i})} \right) f(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}|\boldsymbol{\Theta}) d\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}$

Where $\bar{\beta}_k$ is the population mean and η_{ki} the individual deviation representing heterogeneity in value judgements.

- Introduces random parameters
 - Accounts for heterogeneity
 - Allows for correlation across error terms, negates requirement for iia assumption

Historical Development of DCEs

- Origins
 - Psychometrics (conjoint analysis)
 - Econometrics (choice modelling)
- Application
 - Market research
 - Transport economics
 - Environmental economics
 - Health economics (Late 1990s)

Applications of DCE in health

- Valuing patients' preferences
 - Patients' experience factors vs. health outcomes
 - WTP for QALY
- Patients' service preferences
- Pharmaceutical industry
- Service providers' treatment preferences
- Labour supply decisions

Steps in DCE studies

